	11	
1	MICHAEL HINCKLEY, State Bar No. 161645 LIDIA S. STIGLICH, State Bar No. 182100 STIGLICH & HINCKLEY, LLP	
2	803 Hearst Avenue Berkeley, California 94710	
3	Telephone: (510) 486-0800 Facsimile: (510) 486-0801	
4	(610) 100 0001	
5	Attorneys for Defendant JEROME TOY SINCLAIR	
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
10	LINUTED STATES OF AMEDICA	Cosa No. CD 00 00070 CD 4
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. CR 09-00070 SBA
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: CONTINUING STATUS
13	VS.	CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDING TIME
14	JEROME TOY SINCLAIR,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Defendant, by and through his attorney of record, and the Government, by and through its	
18	attorney of record, hereby stipulate and ask the Court to find as follows:	
19	1. A status conference in this matter is currently scheduled for 10 a.m. on Monday,	
20	January 31, 2011.	
21	2. The parties request that this heari	ng be continued until 9:30 a m. on
22		
23	March 2, 2011, in order to provide defendant's counsel with additional time to evaluate the	
24	evidence in this case and determine whether or not defendant should enter a change of plea or	
25	file motions and to prepare for trial in this matter.	
26	3. Specifically, defendant's counsel needs the continuance in order to review	
27	discovery with defendant, investigate the case, and develop a motions and/or trial strategy in	
ı	ı I	

27

28

light of the discovery. In addition, counsel for defendant requires additional time to conduct informant-related legal research and factual investigation so that defendant's counsel can determine whether or not to file a motion to exclude recordings or require informant testimony. The parties believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny defendant's counsel and defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence and that the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and defendant in a trial within the date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.

4. Thus, the parties respectfully request that the Court find that the time period from January 31, 2011, to March 2, 2011, is excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendant's request and on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and because failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the time necessary for effective preparation for trial, taking into account due diligence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
	MELINDA HAAG
	United States Attorney
	/s/Garth Hire
Dated: January 26, 2011	
	GARTH HIRE
	Assistant United States Attorney
	Attorney for United States of America
	/s/Michael Hinckley
Dated: January 26, 2011	MOHAEL HINGWEY
	MICHAEL HINCKLEY
	Attorney for Defendant
	Jerome Toy Sinclair
	2

ORDER

FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The currently scheduled January 31, 2011, status conference hearing is vacated.

 A status conference hearing is now scheduled for 9:30 a.m. on March 2, 2011, before this Court.
- 2. The time period from January 31, 2011, to March 2, 2011, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendant's request and on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and because failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the time necessary for effective preparation for trial, as set forth above, taking into account due diligence.

Dated: 1/28/2011

HONORABLE DONNA M. RYU UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE